(17)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (C) No. 2167 of 2007

Petitioners : 1. Jhamni Bai W/o. Kanshi Ram Satnami, aged about 45 years,
2. Narendra S/o. Kanshi Ram Satnami, aged about 30 years,
3. Panna Lal S/o. Kanshi Ram Satnami, aged about 26 years,
4. Bharat S/o. Kanshi Ram Satnami, aged about 24 years,
5. Umesh S/o. Kanshi Ram Satnami, aged about 21 years,

(All R/o. Village - Ramhepur, P.H. No. 16, R.N.M.-Kawardha, District-Kabirdham (C.G.)

Versus

Respondents : 1. Krishna S/o. Bodhiya Satnami, aged about 38 years, Occupation-Agriculture
2. Shiv S/o. Bodhiya Satnami, aged about 30 years,
3. Kanshi Ram S/o. Bhagwati Satnami, aged about 48 years, Occupation-Labourer
(No. 1 to 3 all R/o. village - Ramhepur, District - Kabirdham (C.G.)
4. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through : Collector, Kawardha, District - Kabirdham (C.G.)

SB: Hon'ble Justice Shri Satish K. Agnihotri

Present: Mr. Sudhir Verma, counsel for the petitioner.
Ms. Deepali Pandey, Panel lawyer for the respondent No. 4/State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 10th day of April, 2007)

1. By this petition, filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner impugns the order dated 8.3.2007 (P/1), whereunder he was declined an opportunity of producing more witnesses on her behalf as plaintiff. The petitioner was granted eight opportunities on various dates, as stated in the impugned order itself. Thereafter, the petitioner wanted one more opportunity to produce some more witnesses which was denied

(18)

in view of the provisions of the Order XVII Rule 1 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908.

2. The order is perfectly valid, just and proper and does not suffer from any infirmity. Thus, no interference is warranted under supervisory jurisdiction of this Court.

3. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed summarily.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

B/-